

Correction for Exercise #1.

- Given that when  $P \ll Q$ , we have

$$KL(P, Q) = \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{dP}{dQ} \ln \frac{dP}{dQ} \right) dQ \quad \text{by definition of } KL$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \left( \ln \frac{dP}{dQ} \right) dP \quad \text{by definition of } \frac{dP}{dQ}$$

Also, by definition of the density functions:  $dQ = g d\mathcal{V}$  and  $dP = f d\mathcal{V}$

Thus, to get 
$$KL(P, Q) = \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{f}{g} \ln \frac{f}{g} \right) g d\mathcal{V} = \int_{\Omega} \left( \ln \frac{f}{g} \right) f d\mathcal{V}$$

We only need to prove that  $\frac{f}{g}$  is a density of  $P$  w.r.t  $Q$ .

- To that end, we need to be careful with the event  $E = \{g=0\}$

We have  $Q(E) = \int \mathbb{1}_E dQ = \int \mathbb{1}_{\{g=0\}} g d\mathcal{V} = 0,$

thus, by  $P \ll Q$ :  $P(E) = 0$  as well.

Therefore, for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$P(A) = P(A \cap E^c) = \int \mathbb{1}_A \mathbb{1}_{\{g>0\}} f d\mathcal{V}$$

$$= \int \mathbb{1}_A \mathbb{1}_{\{g>0\}} \frac{f}{g} g d\mathcal{V}$$

$$= \int \mathbb{1}_A \frac{f}{g} \underbrace{(\mathbb{1}_{\{g>0\}} g)}_{= g d\mathcal{V} = dQ} d\mathcal{V}$$

here, we heavily used the conventions  
 $0 \cdot 0 = 0$   
 and  
 $0 \times +\infty = 0$

Thus, 
$$P(A) = \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{1}_A \frac{f}{g} dQ.$$

Exercise 2.

[Credits: Marin Ballu]

We prove that  $KP \ll LQ$ 

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} P \ll Q & \text{and} \\ K(w_i) \ll L(w_i) & \text{for } P\text{-almost all } w \in \Omega \end{cases}$$

holds as soon as  $\Omega'$  is a topological space with a countable base (a "second-countable space") and  $\mathcal{F}$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra.

The property satisfied by  $\Omega'$  means that there exists some countable collection  $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)_{i \geq 1}$  of open sets of  $\Omega'$  such that each open set  $V$  of  $\Omega'$  can be written  $V = \bigcup_{i: U_i \subseteq V} U_i$ , i.e. as a countable union of elements in  $\mathcal{U}$ .

This is, for instance, the case when  $\Omega'$  is a separable metric space (like  $\Omega' = \mathbb{R}^N$ ). This assumption was satisfied in our application of the chain rule, where the space  $\Omega'$  was  $\Omega' = \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$ .

Consequence of the equivalence: Assumption (\*) is not needed, and maybe (\*\*) is not needed either.

- If  $KP \not\ll LQ$  and  $P \ll Q$ , then the stated inequality is true as it is  $+\infty = +\infty$ .
- If  $KP \ll LQ$  and  $P \not\ll Q$ , then  $\exists A$  s.t.  $P(A) > 0$  and  $K(w_i) \not\ll L(w_i)$  for  $w \in A$ .

Not sure that  $w \mapsto KL(K(w_i), L(w_i))$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable, but we may define anyway

$$\int KL(K(w_i), L(w_i)) dP(w) = +\infty \text{ as a convention.}$$

In which case, the equality to prove is also  $+\infty = +\infty$  and it holds true.

- Thus, we may assume with no loss of generality that  $KP \ll LQ$ , with density  $f(w|w')$   
 $P \ll Q$ , with density  $h(w)$

The  $Q$ - (plus the  $LQ$ -) probability of  $f h = 0$  equals 0, as

$$\mathbb{Q}\{h=0\} = \int 1_{\{h=0\}} d\mathbb{Q} = \int 1_{\{h=0\}} h d\mathbb{P} = 0$$

Thus  $\tilde{g}(w, w') = \frac{f(w, w')}{h(w)}$  is  $\mathbb{LQ}$ -a.s. well-defined and is  $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}'$ -measurable, as the ratio of two such functions.

Question: does this  $\tilde{g}$  coincide with  $g_i(w, w') \mapsto \frac{dK(w, \cdot)}{dL(w, \cdot)}(w')$  ?  
That would prove the measurability of  $g_i$ , ie, Assumption (\*\*).

Proof of  $\Leftarrow$  : [ Holds actually without any assumption on  $\Omega'$  ]

$$A = \{ E \in \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}' : K\mathbb{P}(E) = 0 \text{ or } L\mathbb{Q}(E) > 0 \}$$

$$A' = \{ E \in \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}' : E \in A \text{ and } E^c \in A \}$$

We prove that  $A' = \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}'$ , which will indeed show that

$$\forall E \in \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}', \quad L\mathbb{Q}(E) = 0 \text{ and } K\mathbb{P}(E) > 0 \text{ cannot simultaneously hold}$$

$$\text{that is : } \forall E \in \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}', \quad L\mathbb{Q}(E) = 0 \Rightarrow K\mathbb{P}(E) = 0$$

$$K\mathbb{P} \ll L\mathbb{Q}.$$

We do so by showing that

- 1)  $A'$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra
- 2) That it contains  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}'$

$$\text{a) if } A \times B \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}' \text{ is such that } L\mathbb{Q}(A \times B) = 0 \text{ then } K\mathbb{P}(A \times B) = 0$$

$$\text{b) if } A \times B \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}' \text{ is such that } L\mathbb{Q}((A \times B)^c) = 0 \text{ then } K\mathbb{P}((A \times B)^c) = 0$$

In both cases, this proves that  $A \times B \in A$  or  $(A \times B)^c \in A$ , thus

$$A \times B \in A'$$

1)  $\mathcal{A}'$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra

- $\Omega \times \Omega'$  and  $\emptyset$  are in  $\mathcal{A}$ , thus both are also in  $\mathcal{A}'$
- By definition of  $\mathcal{A}'$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{A}' \Leftrightarrow E^c \in \mathcal{A}'$
- Let  $(E_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a family of events in  $\mathcal{A}'$  : all  $E_k$  belong to  $\mathcal{A}$   
 thus: either  $\exists k \mid LQ(E_k) > 0$  then  $LQ(\bigcup_k E_k) > 0$  as well  
 or  $\forall k, KP(E_k) = 0$  then  $KP(\bigcup_k E_k) = 0$  as well  
 and  $\bigcup_k E_k \in \mathcal{A}$  thus also belongs to  $\mathcal{A}'$

2) a) Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{F}'$  such that  $LQ(A \times B) = 0$   

$$= \int 1_A(w) L(w, B) dQ(w)$$
  
 thus  $L(w, B) = 0$  for  $Q$ -as all  $w \in \Omega$   
 thus also for  $P$ -as all  $w \in \Omega$  (given  $P \ll Q$ )

Now, since  $K(w, \cdot) \ll L(w, \cdot)$  for  $P$ -as all  $w \in \Omega$ ,  
 we get  $K(w, B) = 0$  for  $P$ -as all  $w \in \Omega$

Finally,  $0 = \int 1_A(w) K(w, B) dP(w) = KP(A \times B)$

b)  $(A \times B)^c = A \times B^c \cup A^c \times B \cup A^c \times B^c$

Since  $LQ((A \times B)^c) = 0$ , we have  $LQ(A \times B^c) = LQ(A^c \times B) = LQ(A^c \times B^c) = 0$

thus by 2a),  $KP(A \times B^c) = KP(A^c \times B) = KP(A^c \times B^c) = 0$   
 that is,  $KP((A \times B)^c) = 0$ .

Proof of  $\Rightarrow$ : It is immediate that  $KP \ll LQ \Rightarrow P \ll Q$ .

To show that  $K(w, \cdot) \ll L(w, \cdot)$  for  $P$ -almost all  $w \in \Omega$  it suffices to show that:

$$\exists N \in \mathcal{F} \text{ with } P(N) = 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall w \in N, \\ \forall B \in \mathcal{F}, \quad K(w, B) = 0 \text{ or } L(w, B) > 0$$

We will

- 1) show the desired property but only  $\forall B \in \mathcal{U}$ , where  $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)_{i \geq 1}$  was described when recalling what a second-countable space is
- 2) then extend the property to  $\forall B \in \mathcal{F}$  as desired.

$$1) \quad A_i = \{ L(\cdot, U_i) = 0 \} \in \mathcal{F}$$

$$\text{with } LQ(A_i \times U_i) = \int \mathbb{1}_{\{L(w, U_i) = 0\}} L(w, U_i) dQ(w) = 0$$

$$\text{thus } KP(A_i \times U_i) = 0 = \int \mathbb{1}_{\{L(w, U_i) = 0\}} \underbrace{K(w, U_i)}_{\geq 0} dP(w)$$

$$\text{which entails } \mathbb{1}_{\{L(w, U_i) = 0\}} K(w, U_i) = 0 \text{ for } P\text{-almost all } w \in \Omega$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{either } L(w, U_i) > 0 \\ \text{or } K(w, U_i) = 0 \end{array}$$

$$\text{Id } A : \quad P(N_i) = 0 \text{ where } N_i = \{ L(\cdot, U_i) = 0 \text{ and } K(\cdot, U_i) > 0 \}$$

The exact same argument can be done for  $U_i^c$ :

$$P(N_i^c) = 0 \text{ where } N_i^c = \{ L(\cdot, U_i^c) = 0 \text{ and } K(\cdot, U_i^c) > 0 \}$$

$$2) \quad \text{Fix } w \in \Omega \setminus N_i, \text{ define } \mathcal{B}_w = \{ B \in \mathcal{F} : K(w, B) = 0 \text{ or } L(w, B) > 0 \}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_w' = \{ B \in \mathcal{F} : B \in \mathcal{B}_w \text{ and } B^c \in \mathcal{B}_w \}$$

then  $\mathcal{B}_w'$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra (same proof as for  $A'$ )

- 1) indicates that since  $w \in \Omega \setminus N_i$  we have  $U_i \in \mathcal{B}_w$  and  $U_i^c \in \mathcal{B}_w$   
 thus  $U_i \in \mathcal{B}_w'$

thus (by the second-countable space property and because  $\sigma$ -algebras

are stable by countable unions), all open sets belong to  $\mathcal{B}_\omega$ .

But we assumed that  $\mathcal{F}$  was the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra (ie, the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by open sets):  $\mathcal{B}_\omega = \mathcal{F}$ ,

which concludes this proof.