

Exercise #1 / Various considerations around the notion of regret.

1) ($N=2$ is enough)

Time	$t=1$	$t=2$	$t=3$	$t=4$	$t=5$	$t=6$	etc.
l_{1t}	1	0	1	0	1	0	
$\sum_{s=1}^{t-1} l_{1s}$		1	1	2	2	3	
l_{2t}	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	1	0	1	
$\sum_{s=1}^{t-1} l_{2s}$		$\frac{1}{2}$	$1+\frac{1}{2}$	$1+\frac{1}{2}$	$2+\frac{1}{2}$	$2+\frac{1}{2}$	
Leader over $s=1, \dots, t-1$		2	1	2	1	2	
p_{1t}	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1	0	1	0	
p_{2t}	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	1	0	1	
$\sum_{j=1}^2 p_{jt} l_{jt}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	1	1	1	1	1	
$\sum_{s=1}^t \sum_{j=1}^2 p_{js} l_{js}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	$1+\frac{3}{4}$	$2+\frac{3}{4}$	$3+\frac{3}{4}$	$4+\frac{3}{4}$	$5+\frac{3}{4}$	

Follow the leader is a strategy that fails miserably $\rightarrow$ EVFF is a smoothed alternative.

We have
$$\sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{j=1}^2 p_{jt} l_{jt} = T \cdot 1 + \frac{3}{4}$$

$$\sum_{t=1}^T l_{1t} = \begin{cases} T/2 & \text{if } T \text{ even} \\ \frac{T-1}{2} & \text{if } T \text{ odd} \end{cases} \quad (\text{lower integer part})$$

$$\sum_{t=1}^T l_{2t} = \begin{cases} (T-1)/2 + 1/2 & \text{if } T \text{ odd} \\ T/2 - 1 + 1/2 & \text{if } T \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

$$\min \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^T l_{1t}, \sum_{t=1}^T l_{2t} \right\} \leq \frac{T}{2}$$

$$R_T \geq T + \frac{3}{4} - \frac{T}{2} = \frac{T}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \neq o(T)$$

2) It suffices to consider only the sequences in $\{0,1\}^{NT}$:

For any given strategy, we denote for $t \geq 2$:

$$k_t^* \in \operatorname{argmax}_{k \in \{1..N\}} p_{kt} \quad \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{in particular,} \\ p_{k_t^* t} \geq 1/N \end{array} \right.$$

Note that k_t^* depends only on ℓ_{js} , $j \in \{1..N\}$, $s \in \{1..t-1\}$

The sequence
$$\begin{cases} \ell_{k_t^*, t} = 1 \\ \ell_{jt} = 0 \quad j \neq k_t^* \end{cases}$$

is such that :

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \sum_j p_{jt} \ell_{jt} \geq \sum_{t=1}^T \underbrace{p_{k_t^* t}}_{\geq 1/N} \ell_{k_t^* t} \geq \frac{T}{N}$$

while

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \min_j \ell_{jt} = 0.$$

Thus, no strategy can be such that

$$\sup_{\substack{(\ell_{1t}.. \ell_{Nt}) \in \{0,1\}^N \\ t=1..T}} \left\{ \sum_{t,j} p_{jt} \ell_{jt} - \sum_t \min_k \ell_{kt} \right\} = o(T)$$

3) In the proof, instead of applying Hoeffding's lemma

$$\ln \mathbb{E}[e^{\eta X}] \leq \eta \mathbb{E}X + \frac{\eta^2}{8} (M-m)^2$$

we apply Jensen's inequality:

$$\ln \mathbb{E}[e^{\eta X}] \geq \eta \mathbb{E}X$$

(valid $\forall \eta \in \mathbb{R}$ and all variables X
s.t. X is integrable)

Then

$$\sum_j p_j l_j = -\frac{1}{\eta} \underbrace{\left(-\eta \sum_j p_j l_j \right)}_{\leq \ln \sum_j p_j e^{-\eta l_j}} \geq -\frac{1}{\eta} \ln \sum_j p_j e^{-\eta l_j}$$

Now, with the same telescoping argument:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{j=1}^N p_{jt} l_{jt} &\geq -\frac{1}{\eta} \ln \frac{\sum_{j=1}^N e^{-\eta \sum_{t=1}^T l_{jt}}}{N} \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{\eta} \ln \max_{j=1 \dots N} e^{-\eta \sum_{t=1}^T l_{jt}} \\ &= \min_{j=1 \dots N} \sum_{t=1}^T l_{jt} \end{aligned}$$

upper bound by the max in the log
coverage the by

That, $\forall \eta > 0$,

$$R_T = \sum_{t,j} p_{jt} l_{jt} - \min_k \sum_{t=1}^T l_{kt} \geq 0$$

Exercise #3 / Convex loss functions and comparison to the best convex vector

Strategy at hand: $\eta > 0$ and

$$p_t = \int_{\mathcal{X}} p \, e^{-\eta \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \ell_s(p)} d\mu(p) / \int_{\mathcal{X}} e^{-\eta \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \ell_s(p)} d\mu(p)$$

$$= \int_{\mathcal{X}} p \, d\mu_t(p) \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{d\mu_t}{d\mu}(p) = \frac{e^{-\eta \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \ell_s(p)}}{\int_{\mathcal{X}} e^{-\eta \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \ell_s(q)} d\mu(q)}$$

$$1) \quad \ell_t(p_t) = \ell_t\left(\int p \, d\mu_t(p)\right) \stackrel{\text{Jensen}}{\leq} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \ell_t(p) \, d\mu_t(p)$$

$$\stackrel{\substack{\text{Hoeffding,} \\ \text{as for EWA}}}{\leq} -\frac{1}{\eta} \ln \int e^{-\eta \ell_t(p)} d\mu_t(p) + \frac{(M-m)^2}{8} \eta$$

$$\underbrace{\ln \frac{\int e^{-\eta \sum_{s=1}^t \ell_s(p)} d\mu(p)}{\int e^{-\eta \sum_{s=1}^t \ell_s(p)} d\mu(p)}}_{=0}$$

Summing over $t=1, \dots, T$, a telescoping sum appears:

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(p_t) \leq -\frac{1}{\eta} \ln \frac{\int e^{-\eta \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(p)} d\mu(p)}{1} + \frac{(M-m)^2}{8} \eta T$$

can be bounded using the same techniques as for

exp-concave losses, but the proof needs to be slightly adapted:

$$\delta > 0 \text{ and } p_\delta^* \text{ s.t. } \inf_{p \in \mathcal{X}} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(p) \leq \delta + \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(p_\delta^*)$$

$$\varepsilon > 0 \text{ and } \Delta_{\delta, \varepsilon}^* = \{(1-\varepsilon)p_\delta^* + \varepsilon r, \quad r \in \mathcal{X}\}$$

$$\text{We still have } \mu(\Delta_{\delta, \varepsilon}^*) = \varepsilon^{N-1}$$

But for $p = (1-\varepsilon)p_s^* + \varepsilon r$ we can only resort to convexity:

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_t(p) &\leq (1-\varepsilon)\ell_t(p_s^*) + \varepsilon\ell_t(r) \\ &\leq \ell_t(p_s^*) + \varepsilon \underbrace{(\ell_t(r) - \ell_t(p_s^*))}_{\leq M-m \text{ since } \ell_t \text{ takes values in } [m, M] \text{ by assumption}} \end{aligned}$$

$$e^{-\eta\ell_t(p)} \geq e^{-\eta\ell_t(p_s^*)} e^{-\eta\varepsilon(M-m)}$$

Putting all things together:

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} e^{-\eta \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(p)} d\mu(p) \geq \underbrace{e^{-\eta \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(p_s^*)}}_{\substack{\text{integral over} \\ \Delta_{q_1}^*}} \times e^{-\eta\varepsilon(M-m)T} \times \varepsilon^{N-1}$$

Substituting above and taking $\inf_{\varepsilon}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(p_t) &\leq \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(p_s^*) + \inf_{\varepsilon \in (q_1)} \left\{ \varepsilon(M-m)T - \frac{N-1}{\eta} \ln \varepsilon \right\} \\ &\leq \delta + \inf_p \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(p) + \frac{(M-m)^2}{8} \eta T \end{aligned}$$

We let $\delta \downarrow 0$ to conclude:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(p_t) - \inf_{p \in \mathcal{X}} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(p) &\leq \frac{(M-m)^2}{8} \eta T + \inf_{\varepsilon \in (q_1)} \left(\varepsilon(M-m)T - \frac{N-1}{\eta} \ln \varepsilon \right) \end{aligned}$$

2) Optimize first over ε :

$$\begin{aligned} g(\varepsilon) &= \varepsilon(M-m)T - \frac{N-1}{\eta} \ln \varepsilon \\ g'(\varepsilon) &= (M-m)T - \frac{N-1}{\eta\varepsilon} \\ g''(\varepsilon) &= \frac{N-1}{\eta\varepsilon^2} > 0 \\ g'(\varepsilon) = 0 &\Leftrightarrow \varepsilon = \frac{N-1}{\eta(M-m)T} \end{aligned}$$

g strictly convex,
a unique minimizer
on $(q_1, +\infty)$ at ε s.t.

⚠ but question is whether this ε is in (q_1) !

I tried with this value of ε (which is ok for large T) but couldn't get a simple and readable $O(\sqrt{NT \ln T})$ bound.

Let's not optimize over ε and take an arbitrary choice: $\varepsilon = 1/\sqrt{T}$

The bound is
$$\leq \frac{(M-m)^2}{8} \eta T + (M-m)\sqrt{T} + \frac{N-1}{2\eta} \ln T$$

Optimal value for η : η^* s.t. (as seen in class)
$$\frac{(M-m)^2}{8} T \eta^* = \frac{N-1}{2\eta^*} \ln T$$

and for this η^* , the sum is $2 \times \sqrt{\text{the product}}$

$$= \frac{2}{\sqrt{16}} (M-m) \sqrt{(N-1) T \ln T}$$

$\underbrace{\quad}_{= 1/2}$

Final bound:
$$\frac{1}{2} (M-m) \sqrt{(N-1) T \ln T} + (M-m) \sqrt{T}.$$

→ If you can provide better, please send me your solution (and you may be rewarded with bonus points at the exam).