

Correction of the exercise on convex loss functions

Convex loss functions and comparison to the best convex vector

Strategy at hand: $\eta > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} p_t &= \int_X p e^{-\eta \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} l_s(p)} d\mu(p) / \int_X e^{-\eta \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} l_s(p)} d\mu(p) \\ &= \int_X p d\mu_t(p) \quad \text{where } \frac{d\mu_t(p)}{dp} = \frac{e^{-\eta \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} l_s(p)}}{\int_X e^{-\eta \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} l_s(q)} d\mu(q)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 1) \quad l_t(p_t) &= l_t(\int p d\mu_t(p)) \stackrel{\text{Jensen}}{\leq} \int_X l_t(p) d\mu_t(p) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Hoeffding, as for EWA}}{\leq} -\frac{1}{\eta} \ln \underbrace{\int e^{-\eta l_t(p)} d\mu_t(p)}_{\ln \frac{\int e^{-\eta \sum_{s=1}^t l_s(p)} d\mu(p)}{\int e^{-\eta \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} l_s(p)} d\mu(p)}} + \frac{(M-m)^2}{8\eta} \end{aligned}$$

Summing over $t=1, \dots, T$, a telescoping sum appears:

$$\sum_{t=1}^T l_t(p_t) \leq -\frac{1}{\eta} \ln \underbrace{\frac{\int e^{-\eta \sum_{t=1}^T l_t(p)} d\mu(p)}{1}}_{\text{1}} + \frac{(M-m)^2}{8\eta T}$$

can be bounded using the same techniques as for exp-concave losses, but the proof needs to be slightly adapted.

$\delta > 0$ and p_S^* s.t.

$$\inf_{p \in X} \sum_{t=1}^T l_t(p) \leq \delta + \sum_{t=1}^T l_t(p_S^*)$$

$$\varepsilon > 0 \text{ and } \Delta_{S,\varepsilon}^* = \{ (1-\varepsilon)p_S^* + \varepsilon r, r \in X \}$$

$$\text{We still have } \mu(\Delta_{S,\varepsilon}^*) = \varepsilon^{N-1}$$

But for $p = (1-\varepsilon)p_S^* + \varepsilon r$ we can only resort to convexity:

$$\begin{aligned} l_t(p) &\leq (1-\varepsilon)l_t(p_S^*) + \varepsilon l_t(r) \\ &\leq l_t(p_S^*) + \varepsilon \underbrace{(l_t(r) - l_t(p_S^*))}_{\leq M-m \text{ since } l_t \text{ takes values in } [m, M]} \\ e^{-\eta l_t(p)} &\geq e^{-\eta l_t(p_S^*)} e^{-\eta \varepsilon(M-m)} \end{aligned}$$

by assumption

Putting all things together:

$$\int_X e^{-\eta \sum_{t=1}^T l_t(p)} du(p) \geq e^{-\eta \sum_{t=1}^T l_t(p_S^*)} \times e^{-\eta \varepsilon(M-m)T} \times \varepsilon^{N-1}$$

↑
integral
only over
 $\Delta_{\delta, \varepsilon}^*$

Substituting above and taking \inf_{ε} :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^T l_t(p_t) &\leq \underbrace{\sum_{t=1}^T l_t(p_S^*)}_{\leq \delta} + \inf_{\varepsilon \in (0, 1)} \left\{ \varepsilon(M-m)T - \frac{N-1}{\eta} \ln \varepsilon \right\} \\ &\leq \delta + \inf_p \sum_{t=1}^T l_t(p) + \frac{(M-m)^2}{8} \eta T \end{aligned}$$

We let $\delta \searrow 0$ to conclude:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^T l_t(p_t) - \inf_{p \in X} \sum_{t=1}^T l_t(p) &\leq \frac{(M-m)^2}{8} \eta T + \inf_{\varepsilon \in (0, 1)} \left(\varepsilon(M-m)T - \frac{N-1}{\eta} \ln \varepsilon \right) \end{aligned}$$

2) Optimize first over ε :

$g(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon(M-m)T - \frac{N-1}{\eta} \ln \varepsilon$

$g'(\varepsilon) = (M-m)T - \frac{N-1}{\eta \varepsilon}$

$g''(\varepsilon) = \frac{N-1}{\eta \varepsilon^2} > 0$

$g'(\varepsilon) = 0 \iff \varepsilon = \frac{N-1}{\eta(M-m)T}$

on $(0, \infty)$ at ε s.t.

! but question is whether this ε is in $(0, 1)$!

I tried with this value of ε (which is ok for large T) but couldn't get a simple and readable $O(\sqrt{NT \ln T})$ bound.

Let's not optimize over ε and take an arbitrary choice: $\varepsilon = 1/\sqrt{T}$

$$\text{The bound is } < \frac{(M-m)^2}{8} \eta T + (M-m)\sqrt{T} + \frac{N-1}{2\eta} \ln T$$

$$\text{Optimal value for } \eta : \quad \eta^* \text{ s.t.} \quad \left(\frac{(M-m)^2}{8} T \right) \eta^* = \frac{N-1}{2\eta^*} \ln T$$

(as seen
in class)

and for this η^* , the sum is $2 \times \sqrt{\text{the product}}$

$$= \underbrace{\frac{2}{\sqrt{16}}}_{= \frac{1}{2}} (M-m) \sqrt{(N-1)T \ln T}$$

$$\text{Final bound : } \frac{1}{2} (M-m) \sqrt{(N-1)T \ln T} + (M-m) \sqrt{T}.$$

→ If you can proceed better please send me your solution (and you may be rewarded with bonus points at the exam).